

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

V.

## SESLEY WILLIAMS.

Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cr-0221-APG-CWH

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO REPORT  
MISCONDUCT, REVIEW OF  
MISCONDUCT, RETRIAL**

[Dkt. #172]

10 On March 19, 2015, defendant Sesley Williams filed a “Motion to Report Misconduct,  
11 Review of Misconduct, Retrial.” (Dkt. #172.) The motion was not filed through Ms. Williams’s  
12 appointed counsel. Pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-6(a), “[a] party who has appeared by attorney  
13 cannot while so represented appear or act in the case.”

14 Moreover, to the extent that the motion seeks a new trial, Fed. R. Crim. P. 33 requires  
15 motions for new trial to be filed within 14 days after the verdict. The verdict in this case was  
16 rendered on January 16, 2015. Thus, the motion is untimely. Finally, the motion does not set forth  
17 sufficient grounds to grant it. Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Sesley Williams's motion (Dkt. #172) is **DENIED**.

20 | Dated: June 2, 2015.

  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE